UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia-22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,409	02/10/2004	David C. Kelman	10557/296452	2422
30559 CHIEF PATEN	7590 01/16/2007 IT COUNSEL		EXAMINER	
SMITH & NEP	•		REIMERS, ANNETTE R	
1450 BROOKS ROAD MEMPHIS, TN 38116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
<u> </u>		·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 D	AYS	01/16/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		i v	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/776,409	KELMAN, DAVID C.
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Annette R. Reimers	3733
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availated after SIX (6) MONTHS from the result of the second of th	R, FROM THE MAILING Datable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 mailing date of this communication. I above, the maximum statutory period vextended period for reply will, by statute later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE to date of this communication, even if timely filed	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to com	nmunication(s) filed on		
2a) ☐ This action is FINA		action is non-final.	
3) Since this applicati	on is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordan	ce with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are	e pending in the application		
4a) Of the above cla	aim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/a	are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/a			
7) Claim(s) is/a	•		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are s	subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers			
	objected to by the Examine		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed	l on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.
, , ,	• •	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	
·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	
11)∐ The oath or declara	ition is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19		•
a) All b) Some	* c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §.119(a))-(d) or (f).
<u> </u>	ies of the priority document		
<u>=</u>	, , , ,	s have been received in Applicati	
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	rom the International Bureau	, , ,	d
See the attached de	talled Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	· .

Attachment(s)

1) LJ	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) 🔲	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)		
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date		
C			

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6)		Other:	
b)	L	Otner:	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-14 and 24-41, drawn to a system for stabilization of an implant,

classified in class 623, subclass 23.58.

II. Claims 15-23 and 42-51 drawn to a method installing/stabilizing a

prosthetic implant, classified in class 623, subclass 16.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The

inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus

as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP §

806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and

materially different process, such as a method for testing and research purposes of a

resorbable device, e.g. evaluating the degradation rate.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given

above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their

different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given

above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/776,409

Art Unit: 3733

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AR

W_

SPF 3733